A meeting of the Human Affairs Committee was held Tuesday, April 21, 2020, at 7:00 p.m. in via teleconference.

Chairwoman Kelly

As Chairwoman of the Human Affairs Committee, I find that due to the State of Emergency declared by the Governor as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and in accordance with the Governor’s Emergency Order #12 pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04, this public body is authorized to meet electronically.

Please note that there is no physical location to observe and listen contemporaneously to this meeting, which was authorized pursuant to the Governor’s Emergency Order. However, in accordance with the Emergency Order, I am confirming that we are:

a) Providing public access to the meeting by telephone, with additional access possibilities by video or other electronic means:

We are utilizing WebEx through the City’s IT Department for this electronic meeting. All members of the Human Affairs Committee have the ability to communicate contemporaneously during this meeting through this platform, and the public has access to contemporaneously listen in to this meeting through dialing the following number 978-990-5298 and using the password 273974. The Public may also view this meeting on Comcast Channel 16.

b) Providing public notice of the necessary information for accessing the meeting:

We previously gave notice to the public of the necessary information for accessing the meeting, through public postings. Instructions have also been provided on the City of Nashua’s website at www.nashuanh.gov and publicly noticed at City Hall.

c) Providing a mechanism for the public to alert the public body during the meeting if there are problems with access:

If anybody has a problem accessing the meeting via phone or Channel 16, please call 603-821-2049 and they will help you connect.

d) Adjourning the meeting if the public is unable to access the meeting:

In the event the public is unable to access the meeting via the methods mentioned above, the meeting will be adjourned and rescheduled. Please note that all votes that are taken during this meeting shall be done by roll call vote.

Let us start the meeting by taking a roll call attendance. When each member states their presence, please also state whether there is anyone in the room with you during this meeting, which is required under the Right-To-Know Law.

Alderman Wilshire called the roll and asked them to state the reason he or she could not attend, confirmed that they could hear the proceedings, and stated who was present with him or her.
The roll call was taken with 5 members of the Human Affairs Committee present:

Alderwoman-at-Large Shoshanna Kelly, Chairman, presided.

Members of the Committee present:  
Alderman Linda Harriott-Gathright, Vice Chair  
Alderman Patricia Klee  
Alderman June M. Caron  
Alderman-at-Large Lori Wilshire  

Also in Attendance:  
Sarah Marchant, Community Development Director  
Carrie Schena, Urban Programs Manager  
Alderman Elizabeth Lu  
Alderman Richard A. Dowd


Alderman Wilshire

Alderwoman-at-Large Shoshanna Kelly?

Chairwoman Kelly

I am here, I can hear everyone, I am by myself and I am attending remotely according to the wishes of the Governor.

Alderman Wilshire

Alderman Gathright?

Alderman Harriott-Gathright

I am here and I am alone and I am doing safe distancing.

Alderman Wilshire

Alderman Klee

Alderman Klee

I am here I am currently alone but husband will be coming home after walking the dogs. I am here because of the Governor’s basically stay-at-home and safe distancing.

Alderman Wilshire

Alderman Caron?

Alderman Caron

I am here, I am home, I am alone and I am practicing social distancing.

Alderman Wilshire

And Alderman Wilshire, I am here, I am home alone due to the Governor’s order to stay at home.

Ok, I believe also with us Community Development Director Sarah Marchant and Urban Programs Manager Carrie Schena. We have a quorum.

Chairwoman Kelly

Thank you.
Alderman Wilshire

You are welcome.

Chairwoman Kelly

OK I apologize. I am having a technical issue here. I have too many things open. So Communications please?

COMMUNICATIONS

From: Nicholas Caggiano, Superintendent of Park & Rec.
Re: 2020 CDBG Request

There being no objection, Chairwoman Kelly accepted the communication and placed it on file.

From: Carrie Schena, Urban Programs Manager
Re: R-20-029 CARES Act / Amend FY2020 Action Plan

There being no objection, Chairwoman Kelly accepted the communication and placed it on file.

Chairwoman Kelly

There is a portion of this Memo that we do need to take action on. So I don’t know if this Committee reviewed it but there is an amendment to the Citizen’s Participation Plan that we would need to make in order to implement R-20-029 which we will be taking up in the next session of the meeting.

Alderman Wilshire

Do we have an amendment or it is just the communication that we got from Carrie Schena?

Chairwoman Kelly

We just got this communication and then the request would be to make the amendment so that we can move forward. So I can quickly go over what the change would be, but it says that they are looking for our Citizen Participation Plan would hinder us from being able to get this money out. So they are looking for a reduction of 14 days to 5 days to amend the comment period from 30 calendar days to 5 days and that they will run concurrently following this virtual meeting. Then we wouldn’t have to run public notices in the Nashua Telegraph and no physical notices. Did I get everything Carrie? I think so. Go ahead Lori.

MOTION BY ALDERMAN WILSHIRE TO AMEND CITIZEN PARTICIPATION PLAN AS OUTLINED BY CARRIE SCHENA

ON THE QUESTION

Alderman Klee

I have it in front of me and I just want to make sure I am clear on it as I am reading it, it says, “reduced 14 days to at least 5 calendar days” is the big portion of it. And that we will not be having to run any kind of public notices, and that the plans will be reduced from 30 calendar days to 5 calendar days and to run concurrent. That’s the gist of everything? OK, I have no questions. I just wanted to make sure that I was understanding it.

Chairwoman Kelly

Yes, that it is a good summation of what the amendment that we will need to move forward with the rest of the business tonight.
Alderman Klee

Thank you.

Chairwoman Kelly

Anyone else have questions? Alright, the Motion on the floor is to amend; can the Clerk please call the roll?

A viva voce roll call was taken which resulted as follows:

Yea:   Alderwoman Kelly, Alderman Harriot-Gathright, Alderman Klee, 
       Alderman Caron, Alderman Wilshire     5

Nay:              0

**MOTION CARRIED**

Chairwoman Kelly

Great thank you for bearing with us and making that adjustment tonight.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS – None

NEW BUSINESS – RESOLUTIONS

R-20-020

Endorsers:   Mayor Jim Donchess
             Alderman-at-Large Michael B. O'Brien
             Alderman Patricia Klee
             Alderman Richard A. Dowd
             Alderman June M. Caron
             Alderman Thomas Lopez
             Alderman Jan Schmidt
             Alderman Skip Cleaver
             Alderwoman Linda Harriott-Gathright

**RELATIVE TO THE ACCEPTANCE AND APPROPRIATION OF $69,566 FROM THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION INTO TRANSIT GRANT ACTIVITY “FEDERAL TRANSIT AUTHORITY (“FTA”) OPERATING GRANT”**

MOTION BY ALDERMAN WILSHIRE TO RECOMMEND FINAL PASSAGE BY ROLL CALL

A viva voce roll call was taken which resulted as follows:

Yea:   Alderwoman Kelly, Alderman Harriot-Gathright, Alderman Klee, 
       Alderman Caron, Alderman Wilshire     5

Nay:              0

**MOTION CARRIED**

R-20-029

Endorsers:   Mayor Jim Donchess
             Alderwoman Shoshanna Kelly
             Alderman-at-Large Michael B. O'Brien, Sr.
             Alderman Patricia Klee
             Alderman Linda Harriott-Gathright
             Alderman Richard A. Dowd
             Alderman June M. Caron


MOTION BY ALDERMAN WILSHIRE TO RECOMMEND FINAL PASSAGE

ON THE QUESTION

Chairwoman Kelly

I believe that Sarah and Carrie are going to speak to this but I will quickly sum up that this is something that has come up obviously because of the COVID-19 crisis. We are trying to get as much support to our community as possible. So we have looked at the CDBG Funding, some from last year and figured out a way to put together a fairly substantial fund to help the community. So I think Carrie or Sarah, do you want to expand on that.

Alderman Klee

Madam Chair, may I ask a question before we – just so that we make sure that we are clear. We are going to be voting on this as we just amended is that correct?

Chairwoman Kelly

Thank you for the question. What we just amended was the Citizen Participation Plan. That is basically how we as a committee are working. Lori probably knows more than I do, but it is how we work, how we get the money through and approve it. So we are saying because of the pandemic, once we talk through all of this and decided, “yes we want to do it”, we want to make it easy to get to the non-profits and the businesses. So instead of holding it back 30 days for public comment, etc., this will allow us to push it through faster and get the money to people faster.

Alderman Klee

Thank you for the clarification.

Chairwoman Kelly

Sure.

Director Marchant are you there?

Sarah Marchant, Community Development Director

Hi, yes, I am here, Carrie is actually going to give the overview on this. She worked very hard on the CDBG portion. I can happily answer questions on the revolving loan fund portion too if those come up. But I am going to let Carrie take the lead on this, thank you.

Carrie Schena, Urban Programs Manager

Hi, so this is Carrie Schena, Manager of the Urban Programs Department. Are you able to hear me ok?

Chairwoman Kelly

I can hear you great.
Carrie Schena, Urban Programs Manager

Great thank you. So I am home, I have a houseful of people, I am not alone, I am in a room alone and hopefully not interrupted. So we, like a lot of communities, were looking to figure out how we could respond quickly to the public health crisis that’s unfolding and the economic impact as a result of that. And through the CARES Act of 2020 that was signed into law at the end of March, it included additional funding to the CDBG Program. And we have just shy of $400,000.00 so $390,000.00 and change in the resolution. That is for the purpose of preventing, preparing for, or responding to COVID-19 directly. So activities that we fund using that money have to have a direct connection to doing those things.

Because that was you know just under $400,000.00 we wanted to ensure that we had enough of an impact and it made sense to look at our portfolio and see what activities maybe were either lagging and looked like they might not go forward. Or in the case of a lot of them, had completed but they came in under budget. So the Resolution before you does two things; it accepts the CARES Act Funding, because that is substantial amendment to our existing action plan due to the amount of funds. And then it also reprograms some existing ones we have. Then I believe Alderman Klee touched upon this, I think we would want to incorporate the adoption of the amendment to the Citizen Participation Plan in this piece of Legislation too just so it is all tied together.

That came about because the CARES Act, again, had language in there that allowed us to expedite these funds and roll them out rather quickly and then as HUD was issuing guidance, just at the end of last week, they let grantees known that it had to be a formal change to your Citizen Participation Plan. So that was the reason it wasn’t in there to start with. So we are like a lot of people, we are trying to do this quickly. HUD is issuing guidance, pretty much every other day and we are trying to respond as best we can. They are encouraging grantees to move quickly and get this money out fast as they are kind of figuring it out at the same time along beside us.

In the end, what we hope this fund will achieve is, especially on the CDBG side, we are going to be looking to assist primarily small businesses, what we call Micro-Enterprises and those are five or fewer employees which includes the owner. We would want those to either be owned by a low to moderate income individual or you are retaining jobs that are held by low to moderate income workers.

Then the other side of it will be to support the non-profits in the community who are on the front lines and have changed their operations to directly serve our most vulnerable residents during the public health crisis. One of the things that the CARES Act did and I know there’s been a lot of publicity on it; typically we are restricted to how much we can spend on those types of non-profit activities. Normally it is 15% of our annual grant, and the CARES Act lifted that provision altogether. So there is no limited to the amount we can assist non-profits. But again, they do have to have a direct tie to the COVID-19, it can’t just be a loss of revenue, but it is recognizing that they are out there, responding, preventing and trying to mitigate the effect of the virus. We hope to start taking applications at the beginning of May if everything goes well. And we are going to pool it with the Revolving Loan Fund that the City already has, and I know Sarah can speak to that a little bit more, but in general the fund already exists, there is about $450,000.00 available and those will be for the businesses that don’t qualify for CDBG that would primarily be routed through Revolving Loan Fund. I don’t know if Sarah has anything to add on that part of it, she may.

Sarah Marchant, Director of Community Development

I don’t know why I am hearing feedback, sorry. The Revolving Loan Fund is managed by Economic Development, Tim Cummings the Economic Development Director is in charge of this fund. There is a Revolving Loan Fund Committee that we would ultimately use to go through all of these applications. The Revolving Loan Fund would be a loan instead of a grant, slightly different than the CDBG funds. What has happened with the CARES Act is that the interest rate that is standardized that can be charged has been brought down significantly, so that we can be a little bit more flexible there and some of the repayment terms have changed. So those are the quick overview, but again, we are trying to keep this very simple, we are trying to keep the application simple so we can really turn this program and those monies around to the community and get them to those that need them most.

Carrie Schena, Urban Programs Manager

If I may Madam Chair, just one more additional point that we are mindful moving forward. Some of the CDBG Funds that are included in the Resolution before you tonight, again, they are current funds - balances that have been unspent but they were originally put into legislation that’s tabled which I believe is R-20-026 and that was our annual cycle of CDBG that we were working on which we collectively feel like we should take a pause on that until we can regroup and know
what next year’s plan is going to look like. But when we get to talking about that again, I just want to point out to the Committee that the funds that were originally included in that legislation to reprogram have been pulled into this one so we can get them out immediately.

Chairwoman Kelly

Thank you for that. Do you have any thing else to add or shall I take any questions from the Committee here?

Carrie Schena, Urban Programs Manager

I don’t have any other major points. I am happy to walk through the technicalities if there’s questions.

Chairwoman Kelly

I think Lori had her hand up, Alderman Wilshire.

Alderman Wilshire

Thank you, I do have a question about the FY21 CDBG. You said you had taken some of the funds that were in that Resolution and put them in this one?

Carrie Schena, Urban Programs Manager

Yes that’s correct. So in the Resolution for FY21 it was $41,528.00 roughly that was identified to be used in next year’s action plan and those activities, that exact amount was pulled into this legislation so that we could get that out quickly. We are thinking that we have reached out to the agencies who had proposals pending to ask if they planned to move forward with some of those proposals given the changes that have happened so rapidly. A lot of them were not going to be fully funded by CDBG and they would have had to find outside funds or do fundraisers. So we are still waiting to hear back from a couple but we wanted to make sure that it made sense to move forward with how that piece of legislation looked or how that plan looked, recognizing that not everybody is probably going to move forward with those projects. They may have other priorities. I believe PLUS Company responded at this time that they would probably want to put a pause on that and withdraw. I know they were $15,000.00 and then there's a few others in there. The City wants to regroup and look at some of ours and decide if those are still the priority or if we are going to need to, again, address some of these COVID-19 economic impact. So we feel that we would net out with the changes but we did just want to point that out.

Alderman Wilshire

Can I ask a further question?

Chairwoman Kelly

You sure can.

Alderman Wilshire

So of the $41,528 was money that was being programmed sort of like the money listed in here that’s been reprogrammed. There’s a lot in here I was a little bit surprised to see Carrie. The $110,000.00 for the E for All Program, what happened there?

Carrie Schena, Urban Programs Manager

If I may, again, when we were looking over the portfolio to figure out what was available to reprogram some of the big ones that jumped to us were the funds set aside for E for All. So their initial round of funding was a couple years ago. We are in the second year now. That was $70,000.00 and then in last year’s action plan they were awarded an additional $40,000.00. Unfortunately, they have had quite the trouble trying to get donors and the other portion of the funding that they needed because CDBG was not going to be the full amount to operate that program. Then they also wanted to make sure that they had 3 years of funding lined up before they launch a program. So they were really trying to get to just under $1 million dollars. Although they had been making progress and we’ve been in contact with them, we did reach out and
ask if it was something that they would be willing to basically withdraw or forego in light of these emergency conditions. Certainly, that they would be encouraged to apply at a later date especially when they've got all their funds lined up and we could really hit the ground running with that. Again I put it in the Memo but they were very gracious about withdrawing and recognizing the funds could be used elsewhere and they really wanted to hope that there were no ill feelings about it.

Alderman Wilshire

OK, thank you.

Alderman Klee

Thank you I kind of have a couple questions. The first one is relative to what we were just speaking of. Do we need to amend the tabled before we can approve this because we have to – I am just thinking about the process. Before we can say this money is available, do we have to amend that and pull the money out of it? That’s just my first question. And then my second question is for Carrie. You said it, actually it wasn’t for Carrie I think it might have been – the repayment terms and interest rates. You mentioned that those are different, can you elaborate I know we probably don’t want to go into a lot of detail but I’d like to understand. You said the interest rates you had more leeway with them and the payment terms.

Sarah Marchant, Director of Community Development

So currently or before COVID, the interest rates were a minimum of 6% set as prime plus 2 or 3% on the low end. Now because of COVID, the interest rate is now at .75% plus prime. So that is a pretty significant reduction of interest. It isn’t exactly what some of the Federal Programs are offering, but it is money that we hope to be able to turn around very quickly and it should be helpful in the community. It depends on if you are just looking for working capital and the terms of those loans. So there is more flexibility because of COVID that we had had in the past.

Alderman Klee

If I may Madam Chair, the repayment terms, I guess I am a little confused as to that. Do they give a longer period of time to pay it?

Sarah Marchant, Director of Community Development

They have a longer period of time and there’s the ability to delay the initial payments a bit. And so those terms that the Revolving Loan Fund Committee has a lot of flexibility in scheduling appropriately which were not necessarily as much the case before this.

Alderman Klee

Thank you very much. So I guess my only question is whether or not we have to amend the tabled 20-026 before we can take action on this.

Sarah Marchant, Director of Community Development

I don’t think so because you didn’t take action on the other one. Certainly before you take action on 20-026 it has to be amended, but that doesn’t preclude you from moving this one forward.

Alderman Klee

Thank you.

Chairwoman Kelly

Yeah that was going to be my response, but because we tabled it and we were still, we haven’t fully pushed it forward, we wouldn’t have to amend it until we pull it forward again, which we are hoping to do in the next month, potentially the month after that depending on how everything falls in place.
Alderman Wilshire

Thank you, I have a couple observations. I guess when I took the roll, I didn’t have my list of people, but it looks like Alderwoman Lu might be with us on the meeting and Alderman Dowd. With that said, I would rescind my motion for final passage because we have to amend this before we make that motion. The amendment, is it alright if I read what the amendment is, Madam Chair?

MOTION FOR FINAL PASSAGE RESCINDED BY ALDERMAN WILSHIRE

Chairwoman Kelly

Yes please.

Alderman Wilshire

Ok so it’s in the title, relative to accepting CDBG Funding under the 2020 CARES Act, amending the 2020 HUD Annual Action Plan to Reprogram existing CDBG Funding and create a COVID-19 impact program and amend the Citizen Participation Plan to respond to COVID-19. And then in the body of Legislation, Be it further resolved that the current Citizen Participation Plan for the HUD consolidated planning process, 24CFR Part 91.105 be amended to reflect the expedited participation provisions outlines in the CARES Act as follows: In order to expeditiously prevent, prepare, and respond to COVID-19 using HUD Funding, the common period for substantial amendments to the Citizen Participation Plan shall be reduced from 14 calendar days to 5 calendar days, and that the comment period the Draft Consolidation Plan, Action Plans and/or substantial amendments to the plan shall be reduced from 30 calendar days to 5 calendar days. These five-day comment periods may run concurrently, following a virtual public meeting of the Human Affairs Committee. No public notices will be required to run in the Nashua Telegraph and that no physical notices will be posted in public buildings. Comments shall be submitted to the Urban Programs Department. All comments, questions and responses will be posted to the Urban Programs Department Web Page. Individuals who are unable to submit comments via e-mail may call 603-589-3087 and leave their comment in a voice mail. So that is my motion is to amend R-20-029 with those amendments.

MOTION BY ALDERMAN WILSHIRE TO AMEND R-20-029 AS FOLLOWS: CHANGE IN TITLE, “RELATIVE TO ACCEPTING CDBG FUNDING UNDER THE 2020 CARES ACT; AMENDING THE FY2020 HUD ANNUAL ACTION PLAN TO REPROGRAM EXISTING CDBG FUNDING; TO CREATE A COVID-19 IMPACT PROGRAM; AND AMEND THE CITIZEN PARTICIPATION PLAN TO RESPOND TO COVID-19”; IN THE BODY OF THE LEGISLATION SECOND BEFORE LAST PARAGRAPH ADD, “BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT THE CURRENT CITIZEN PARTICIPATION PLAN FOR THE HUD CONSOLIDATED PLANNING PROCESS24 CFR PART 91.105 BE AMENDED TO REFLECT THE EXPEDITED PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROVISIONS OUTLINED IN THE CARES ACT AS FOLLOWS: IN ORDER TO EXPEDITIOUSLY PREVENT, PREPARE AND RESPOND TO COVID-19 USING HUD FUNDING, THE COMMENT PERIOD FOR SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENTS TO THE CITIZEN PARTICIPATION PLAN SHALL BE REDUCED FROM 14 CALENDAR DAYS TO AT LEAST FIVE (5) CALENDAR DAYS; THAT THE COMMENT PERIOD FOR DRAFT CONSOLIDATED PLAN/ACTION PLANS AND/OR SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENTS TO THE PLANS SHALL BE REDUCED FROM 30 CALENDAR DAYS TO AT LEAST FIVE (5) CALENDAR DAYS; THAT THESE 5-DAY COMMENT PERIODS MAY RUN CONCURRENTLY, FOLLOWING A VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING OF THE HUMAN AFFAIRS COMMITTEE; THAT NO PUBLIC NOTICES WILL BE REQUIRED TO RUN IN THE NASHUA TELEGRAPH; AND THAT NO PHYSICAL NOTICES WILL BE POSTED IN PUBLIC BUILDINGS. COMMENTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE URBAN PROGRAMS DEPARTMENT. ALL COMMENTS, QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES WILL BE POSTED TO THE URBAN PROGRAMS DEPARTMENT WEBPAGE. INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE UNABLE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS VIA EMAIL MAY CALL 603-589-3087 AND LEAVE THEIR COMMENT IN A VOICEMAIL” BY ROLL CALL

A viva voce roll call was taken which resulted as follows:

Yea: Alderwoman Kelly, Alderman Klee, Alderman Caron, Alderman Harnott-Gathright, Alderman Wilshire 5

Nay: 0

MOTION CARRIED
MOTION BY ALDERMAN WILSHIRE TO RECOMMEND FINAL PASSAGE OF R-20-029 AS AMENDED BY ROLL CALL

Chairwoman Kelly

Is there discussion on that motion? Seeing none, will the Clerk please call the role.

A viva voce roll call was taken which resulted as follows:

Yea:   Alderwoman Kelly, Alderman Klee, Alderman Harriott-Gathright, Alderman Caron, Alderman Wilshire   5

Nay:   0

MOTION CARRIED

NEW BUSINESS – ORDINANCES - None

TABLED IN COMMITTEE

R-20-026

Endorsers:   Mayor Jim Donchess
              Alderman-at-Large Michael B. O’Brien, Sr.
              Alderwoman Linda Harriott-Gathright
              Alderman Patricia Klee
              Alderwoman-at-Large Shoshanna Kelly
              Alderman Jan Schmidt
              Alderman Richard A. Dowd
              Alderman-at-Large Lori Wilshire

ADOPTING THE 2020 CONSOLIDATED PLAN AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO APPLY FOR AND EXPEND THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (“CDBG”) AND HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM FUNDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021

Chairwoman Kelly

So R-20-026 is on the table and I suggest we leave it there. I just didn’t know if you formally needed to read it. It is still on the table.

GENERAL DISCUSSION

Chairwoman Kelly

I have a couple of comments as long as everybody is set. I just wanted to thank Director Marchant and Carrie for putting some hard work into this. I’ve been in contact with them the last few days and last week as this plan was coming together. They’ve been very thorough in how they put this together and I think it is the right approach to helping our businesses and non-profits right now. So thank you for your thorough work on that. That is all I had from a general discussion perspective. I know that there was a little bit of concern about this year, we are on top of it and talking to the people who are applicants now. But because this is such a day-to-day crisis, not sure what will happen. We obviously are going to keep in on the table, but I want to make sure we do get back to that business as soon as possible.

REMARKS BY THE ALDERMEN - None

POSSIBLE NON-PUBLIC SESSION - None
ADJOURNMENT

MOTION BY ALDERMAN WILSHIRE TO ADJOURN BY ROLL CALL

A viva voce roll call was taken which resulted as follows:

Yea: Alderwoman Kelly, Alderman Klee, Alderman Harriott-Gathright, Alderman Caron, Alderman Wilshire  5

Nay:  0

MOTION CARRIED

The meeting was declared adjourned at 7:33 p.m.

Alderman-at-Large Lori Wilshire
Committee Clerk