

ROLL CALL

Alderman Harriott-Gathright

I can hear everyone, I am in this room alone.

Alderman Klee

I am here, I can hear the proceedings and I am alone at the moment.

Alderman Caron

Yes I am here, I can hear everyone and I am alone.

Alderman Wilshire

Alderman Wilshire is here, I can hear everyone and I am here alone.

Chairwoman Kelly

I am here, I am alone and I can hear everyone.

Alderman Wilshire

We have 5 members present.

Chairwoman Kelly

Alright. Also in attendance I see Carrie Schena, Urban Programs Manager and Director Marchant. Did I miss anybody? OK. So the first thing on our Agenda this evening is a Public Hearing to talk to the Draft Action Plan for FY 2022 Annual Action Plan for CDBG & HOME Programs. We would like to open this up to testimony in favor? Is there anyone from the public here that would like to speak in favor; if so, please raise your hand and I will recognize you and you can state your name and address.

PUBLIC HEARING

DRAFT ACTION PLAN FY2022 ANNUAL ACTION PLAN FOR THE CDBG AND HOME PROGRAMS

TESTIMONY IN FAVOR

Ruth Morrissette Good evening, my name is Ruth Morrissette and I am with Gateways Community Services and we had actually requested funding for our group homes. It looks like from the minutes of the meeting on March 8th that you were in favor of replacing a fence at Atherton Avenue which actually is the more critical of the two that we asked for. So I just wanted to thank you and let you know that this is something critical that will help the residents of that group home.

TESTIMONY IN OPPOSITION - None

TESTIMONY IN FAVOR - None

TESTIMONY IN OPPOSITION - None

The public hearing was declared closed at 7:05 p.m.

Regular Meeting

Chairwoman Kelly

We can move into our regular meeting. OK.

PUBLIC COMMENT - None

PRESENTATION - None

COMMUNICATIONS - None

UNFINISHED BUSINESS - None

NEW BUSINESS – RESOLUTIONS

R-21-126

Endorsers: Alderman Patricia Klee
Alderman-at-Large Michael B. O'Brien, Sr.
Alderman Jan Schmidt
Alderman Richard A. Dowd
Alderman Patricia Klee
Alderman Thomas Lopez
Alderman-at-Large Shoshanna Kelly
Alderman June M. Caron
Alderman-at-Large Brandon Michael Laws
Alderman Linda Harriott-Gathright
Alderman-at-Large David C. Tencza
Alderman-at-Large Lori Wilshire

AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO APPLY FOR AND EXPEND THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (“CDBG”) AND HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM FUNDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2022

MOTION BY ALDERMAN WILSHIRE TO RECOMMEND FINAL PASSAGE BY ROLL CALL

ON THE QUESTION

Chairwoman Kelly

The motion is for final passage. I will call for discussion on that motion. Director Marchant, I saw your hand go up.

Sarah Marchant, Director of Community Development

Good evening, I was on a different Zoom where there was a different protocol, so I apologize. Thank you all so much for having me here tonight. Sarah Marchant, Community Development Director. I wanted to talk to you briefly about a brand new source of funding that was just announced in the past two weeks maybe, almost two weeks, not even quite two weeks ago. Based on that new funding, ask for an amendment to what is presented here tonight.

So with the American Rescue Plan passed by the Federal Government recently, the City of Nashua is getting, for the first time ever, a distribution of what they are calling HOME ARP Funds. These funds are not your traditional HOME Funds. They are very, very focused on supporting our homeless and at risk of homelessness and those more specific services to prevent homelessness and those kinds of things which is unique to HOME and we are getting \$1.6 million dollars of this funding. This is never-before come through funding and it certainly wasn't something that you had when you evaluated the distributions that you put forward at your March meeting.

In lieu of this new money, we are not going to, I will say that HUD has made it very clear that they are asking grantees not to do anything with these dollars until they officially publish the Federal Register Information which we do expect in the next month or so. But we do know that this funding is specifically targeting homelessness and at risk of homelessness. This funding would be the perfect substitution or perfect funding for what the Nashua Soup Kitchen & Shelter had proposed. So what I would like to propose in lieu of the \$75,000.00 of CDBG dollars going to the Soup Kitchen and to the future allocations in FY '23 and '24, I would like to propose instead that the CDBG dollars be reconsidered for the East Hollis Street Heritage Rail Trail at \$68,000.00 and that we instead appropriate at least the same amount of dollars towards the Nashua Soup Kitchen & Shelter from these HOME ARP funds.

I did speak with Mike Reinke about it and I know he was going to try to be on tonight. He was delighted by that idea and had no problem with it whatsoever. He is still very interested in the HODAG funds that are part of this Resolution and we said we were very interested in him still having those funds as well. But he asked if he could have more of the HOME ARP funds and I said, I think that will still need to be discussed. But that certainly there's \$1.6 million, there's a very sufficient amount of these funds and we will need to decide exactly where it goes. It has a little bit longer timeframe for spending but as this program clearly fits within the limited parameters we already have, it seems like a fair switch. And also, these dollars would be available to him, the HOME ARP Funds faster than the CDBG dollars would have been available to him, and that is also something that was appealing. So that's what I have to say and I am more than happy to answer questions?

Chairwoman Kelly

Alderman Klee. Thank you Director Marchant.

Alderman Klee

Yes thank you Director Marchant. I love the fact that we are getting \$1.6 million dollars. Sometimes I feel like I am not really always 100% up on my email. Did we get anything that helped us describe what the ARP Program is? That's my first question and my second question is, beyond the Soup Kitchen, is there any program that is asking us for funds that would qualify under that program that you are aware of. So, you know, something like, not necessarily like Gateways but if there were some of those other programs that help with home or a portion and so on, even like the HOME Fund that we talked about. Are there are any other programs that we have here that would qualify. I'm sorry for such a long question, I'm tripping over my own words.

Director Marchant

I would say that and Ms. Schena can jump in at any time as well, the guidelines are not super clear yet. What we know is the funds have to be expended by 2029. There is no match required and we can take a portion for admin which would be important, adding this whole new program to our fleet to administer. But that the eligible activities are, it is very targeted, unlike other HOME Funds to homelessness, at risk of homelessness, (inaudible) domestic and dating violence. So some of other partners that maybe are not in this list before you with CDBG that we would be reaching out to about this program. It would certainly be Front Door Agency, Marguerite's, Bridges.

Alderman Klee

Something like Revive, also where they are

Director Marchant

So I think as we get the Federal Register, just as we have with the other CDBG Funds in the past, we would come up with, based on the parameters, a basic grant application program that we would then bring to you, the results of that most likely for review and apportionment of those funds. But what we do know, I don't think there is anything else on this list right now. But this one item right now and Mr. Reinke is here and he might want to speak to it as well. But this one item right now is very clearly and would fit within the parameters of that. And so this is the one thing we think right now; but certainly these dollars, we have to figure out what the program parameters are and then figure out what other community partners would be eligible for these activities.

Alderman Klee

Madam Chair, may I have one more comment.

Chairwoman Kelly

Alderman Klee.

Alderman Klee

Thank you so much, I appreciate that time. I absolutely love the idea and I love the idea that we would be able to help the Nashua Soup Kitchen, sooner than later. You mentioned that the CDBG funds take a little bit longer, this would give them more guaranteed money in their pocket now rather than having to wait as long. It is an incredible program that Mr. Reinke and the Board Members and so on are doing. So I think the more, the better we can do that. You said that these don't have to be expended until 2029? Is that what you think?

Director Marchant

Correct.

Alderman Klee

So this is obviously going to be a one shot deal. We get this money and over the next 8 years or so we have to give them out. So do they have to be spent by that time or just allocated?

Director Marchant

No expended.

Alderman Klee

OK so they actually have to be spent. So that's good, because obviously the Nashua Soup Kitchen Program is going to take a little while to work on and so on. I am sure I am going to have more questions, but thank you for indulging me, Madam President.

Chairwoman Kelly

All set Alderman Klee?

Alderman Klee

Yes I am.

Chairwoman Kelly

I see Alderman Wilshire's hand up.

Alderman Wilshire

Thank you. So you are asking us, Director Marchant, to just take line 10 out of the Resolution and we'd need a motion to move that which I can do later. So that means none of this funding for Soup Kitchen would come out of CDBG at all. And we are sure we are getting this money? OK, I would hate to see the Soup Kitchen waiting for \$68,000.00 or \$75,000.00 and then the money doesn't come through. But thank you Director Marchant.

Director Marchant

To be clear, it is in line 10, there's \$75,000.00 this year and over FY '23 and '24 it would be a total of another \$100,000.00; so \$175,000.00. And so what I am proposing is the kind of same amount at least from the new HOME ARP funds. So it's not just the \$75,000.00; it is the \$175,000.00 over the 3 years that you promised that they would be eligible for with this program.

Alderman Wilshire

OK. Thank you.

Chairwoman Kelly

Any other questions from Committee members, I have a few but I wanted to make sure. I see June?

Alderman Caron

Madam Chair?

Chairwoman Kelly

Yeah go ahead.

Alderman Caron

OK, thank you. Alderman Wilshire asked the questions that I was going to ask but Director Marchant, the money that we have, I know one of the items that we didn't fund was the Rail Trail but I don't have the previous paperwork. How much was it that had been asked for that particular project?

Director Marchant

\$68,000.00 and that is the final matching money for the additional funds we needed for the Rail Trail Project as it has taken so long to move forward. And as we all know, construction prices have risen over 40% and so the State DOT is significantly upping their contribution and this would be our 20% match to the 80% they are putting in.

Alderman Caron

OK. May I ask another question?

Chairwoman Kelly

Alderman Caron?

Alderman Caron

Yes thank you. So in other words, if we amend this as Alderman Wilshire said, then that would give us about \$7,000.00 that maybe we could give to another project within this group that we have? I know money was tight so obviously I don't have a problem giving you the \$68,000.00 but if that's all that you had asked for, I would like to see us spend the other balance on one or two of the other projects if possible.

Chairwoman Kelly

Alderman Caron, I have the spread sheet up and the exact ask was \$68,307.00.

Alderman Caron

OK so if we gave them \$69,000.00 it would give us about \$6,000.00 to spend.

Chairwoman Kelly

We also have right now, sitting in contingency \$9,132.00. So if that is anything we want to reconsider with this \$7,000.00 I will do the math in a second but somewhere around \$16,000.00.

Alderman Caron

OK thank you.

Chairwoman Kelly

OK. Alderman Klee go ahead.

Alderman Klee

Thank you again Madam Chair. The question that I have kind of follows what Alderman Caron was mentioning. And that was the Rail Trail Funds. I am a firm believer in trying to get as much matching dollars as possible any time we can. I never look a gift horse in the mouth and I really like that idea. I also remember and I spoke to you about that Madam Chair, was that the and now I blanking it, the organization that needs it for the furnaces. And I believe that was, was that Opportunity Network that needed that.

Chairwoman Kelly

That was actually Marguerite's Place and I think we were on

Alderman Klee

Marguerite's Place that's what it was. When we originally talked we had kind of funded a couple of those. They said that they didn't have any other funding sources so I would be in favor of putting more money towards that. We don't want to leave them literally out in the cold. But if we did have this \$16,000.00 I think I would like to discuss where we could possibly allocate it. And I know President Wilshire had mentioned that we would be making a motion soon. So I think the sooner we can make a motion and start talking about that fund, the better off we are.

Alderman Caron

Madam Chair? Madam Chair?

Chairwoman Kelly

Alderman Caron.

Alderman Caron

Yes I think Alderman Klee is a little confused; it is not \$16,000.00 difference between the \$68,000.00 and \$75,000.00. I think she's got the contingency money added into that.

Alderman Klee

I actually did. And I apologize for not being clearer. Thank you for bringing that up. When the audience is listening I would be confusing them as well. So thank you for the correction but yes, that's what I did mean.

Alderman Caron

OK thanks.

Alderman Harriott-Gathright

I think it's more like \$18,000.00; \$18,825.00.

Chairwoman Kelly

I can share my screen, but I hate sharing my excel document because it is always so beautiful as I am trying to deal with it. If we want to start getting into how to reallocate then I can definitely share it so people can

follow along. But I had some questions before that. Are there other questions from the Committee? So Director Marchant, my questions were around sort of the new funding.

I know you said you're not sure when you are going to get those outlines in terms of what can be available. But are we thinking it is a month from now, are we thinking it is two months from now.

Director Marchant

Yeah, sorry.

Chairwoman Kelly

And if there was someone on this set of allocations that maybe we partially funded, could they come to that fund to look for the remainder if it fit within?

Director Marchant

I was going to say, I will turn that over – as far as the timeline goes, it is pretty short. Each of these new programs within the American Recovery Act, Treasury has a specified number of days to turn around the rules. So I believe this one is not too far from now, but I will turn it over to Carrie to answer the rest of the question.

Chairwoman Kelly

Thank you.

Carrie Schena, Urban Programs Manager

Good evening. Carrie Schena, Manager of the Urban Program Department, part of Community Development. So to answer that question there aren't really any other proposals on this particular list that would be a good fit, although I understand Margarite's Place does transitional housing which is homelessness. I would say it would be premature, normally the HOME Funds are for a large scale project or major rehab that are going to correct either a lot of deficiencies through rehab or typically new construction. So I don't think the request for the boilers there would really be a good fit for the HOME Funds that are coming in.

And as Director Marchant said, we envision doing some type of local planning or local analysis to figure out how best to spend those funds because they do include pieces that normally aren't in the HOME Program. So there could be operating dollars but there's the tenant based rental assistance is also eligible and then of course units and affordable housing. So there may be agencies out there such as Marguerite's Place or Front Door Agency or any number of the other non-profits who are part of the Continuum of Care that are doing this work that may be looking to develop affordable housing and that might be an appropriate use. But really until HUD publishes the Federal Register Notice, we are not going to know what kind of waivers beyond what was written into the Bill. And so we don't want to get too far ahead of ourselves in that and certainly wouldn't want to kind of pull anything out of this Resolution that's not a sure thing and then be kind of caught later down the pipeline not having an appropriate use for them.

Chairwoman Kelly

OK so just to reiterate what you said, you feel most comfortable with the Soup Kitchen Project fitting under this, everything else we are not sure so we'd like to not even go there right now.

Ms. Schena

Right. Right, I just wouldn't want to gamble on any of it.

Chairwoman Kelly

No I understand that. OK, Alderman Klee.

Alderman Klee

Thank you once again, Madam Chair. The only thing I was thinking and keeping in mind was not to pull any out, like we said we'd pull the Soup Kitchen out because we are pretty sure of those. I guess my question was I think similar to what Alderman Caron had kind of mentioned in that if we are not able to fund them fully, so for instance Marguerite's Place, would they – down the road – maybe be able to apply. But after listening to what Ms. Schena said relative to not necessarily being a big enough project. If we scale down what they are going to need would that make them even less apt to be able to qualify, I guess that's my question. If we do fund them and right now short-sheeted them about \$30,000.00. No I am staying awake, about \$30,000.00, so obviously we wouldn't be able to still come up with all of it, but if we able to give them even \$10,000.00 or \$15,000.00 would the remaining, if they did qualify, is that going to be now too small for these monies. My question is to Ms. Schena.

Chairwoman Kelly

Ms. Schena?

Ms. Schena

Thank you, Madam Chair. So it probably would be and the reason I say that is HOME is usually very complex and it comes with long-term affordability requirements and not that the agencies wouldn't be readily able to meet that requirement. But due to the regulatory requirements that normally come with HOME. I know every dollar is worth it so I am kind of reluctant to use this phrase, but it's not worth it for \$20,000.00 or \$30,000.00 just due to the amount of monitoring and long-term rules that come with it. And, again, we don't know if that is going to be the case with these funds because a lot under the stimulus packages they have been putting in a lot of waivers to make it easier to spend. But the timing is just not working out. But certainly if it comes out and it has all kinds of waivers that make it easy to use, I do think that would be great, at some of the agencies who still need to fill that gap and they qualify, could come in for it.

Alderman Klee

Thank you. Then I think as was stated before, we need to keep an eye on that, we need to do as much funding as possible with this. And then I have one question for you, Madam Chair. Again it may be also to Director Marchant and Ms. Schena. But we talked about the \$75,000.00 and then the funds, the HODAG funds. Are we still looking at those for the Soup Kitchen for the future? We are not pulling that way, we are just looking at the pulling of this year's CDBG, correct or that is what was kind of referenced, is that correct.

Chairwoman Kelly

I am going to look really quickly because I am trying to remember off the top of my head. But I know that we allocated over two years \$100,000.00 from CDBG; so \$75,000.00 this year, \$25,000.00 next year and then the \$25,000.00 that is in the HODAG, that's what I have as my notes. So someone let me know if ...

Director Marchant

Yes the total amount of \$75,000.00 in HODAG so \$25,000.00 per year for now and then the anticipated for '22 and '23. That is still the intention is that those HODAG funds as listed in the Resolution would move forward.

Alderman Klee

Great, thank you.

Chairwoman Kelly

OK other questions? Do I have a motion? Alderman Wilshire?

Alderman Wilshire

So the HODAG is not part of the \$75,000.00? This is just CDBG Funding? So I am going to move to zero out line 10, Nashua Soup Kitchen & Shelter, \$75,000.00 to \$0.00. That's my motion and that can be divvied out after. No I want to make a subsequent motion to that.

Chairwoman Kelly

I was going to say to make the edits all at once or do we have to do them line by line.

Alderman Wilshire

No we don't – well it is part of this, but I'd like to reduce the Soup Kitchen by \$75,000.00 and instead fund the East Hollis Street Rail Trail Match Money for \$68,000.00.

Chairwoman Kelly

So \$307.00 they've got to figure out themselves so \$68,000.00 is your motion?

Alderman Wilshire

Yes.

MOTION BY ALDERMAN WILSHIRE TO AMEND R-21-126 TO ZERO (\$0.00) OUT LINE 10, NASHUA SOUP KITCHEN & SHELTER FROM \$75,000.00 TO ZERO DOLLARS (\$0.00) AND ALLOCATE \$68,000.00 TO THE EAST HOLLIS STREET RAIL TRAIL MATCH MONEY BY ROLL CALL

ON THE QUESTION

Chairwoman Kelly

OK the motion is to amend the Resolution by zeroing out Line 10 and putting \$68,000.00 towards the Rail Trail Program. Is there discussion on that motion?

Alderman Caron

Madam Chair?

Chairwoman Kelly

Yeah?

Alderman Caron

So can we add to that motion to utilize that \$7,000.00 either to put it for Marguerite’s Place or to add into our contingency account?

Chairwoman Kelly

I believe that is the pleasure of the Committee here in terms of what we want to do with the surplus.

Alderman Wilshire

I’d like to vote on this motion and then decide where we want to put the rest of it. I think it is cleaner, but that’s my opinion.

Chairwoman Kelly

OK.

Alderman Caron

I don’t have a problem with that, that’s why I asked the question. Thank you.

Chairwoman Kelly

OK. Alright, any other discussion from the Committee? OK the motion is to amend.

A viva voce roll call was taken which resulted as follows:

| | |
|--|---|
| Yea: Alderman Harriott-Gathright, Alderman Klee, Alderman Caron, Alderman Wilshire, | 4 |
| Abstain: Alderwoman Kelly | 1 |
| Nay: | 0 |

MOTION TO AMEND CARRIED

Chairwoman Kelly

And that motion carries. OK so with that, what we end up with is \$7,000.00 surplus and we still have \$9,132.88 in contingency. Alderman Klee?

Alderman Klee

Thank you again for indulging me. I know we talked about contingency and that truly it is not something that we necessarily need to have. But I think in some cases it is good to have. Could Ms. Schena once again tell us what is a healthy contingency to have and if 0 is a health contingency, that’s fine. I would just like to know how much of that \$9,132.08 we should be putting towards the Marguerite’s Place, because they are still going to be short even if we put that money there. So the more the merrier, we could get there, I would (audio cuts out).

Chairwoman Kelly

Ms. Schena?

Ms. Schena

Thank you Madam Chair. So the contingency I will say over the years that I've been doing this which is about a decade now or a little more, we have very rarely actually tapped into it. We have had it be as little as \$2,000.00 because it was tight in one year and upwards of \$10,000.00 like it is this year. And the intention for those funds are if we run into any major unforeseen issues with some of these funded projects, because they do involve construction that there would be a little bit of money to tap into if needed. I think having the agencies get quotes and have professionals come look at it ahead of time is why we have not needed contingency as of late. And then the other reason that it could be used the way the Resolution is written is if there was an unforeseen community need. And, again, that would be subject to Board of Aldermen approval. But typically there's really not ever enough in that line item to be worth anything for a new need or a new project. So I mean it could be 0 and we would find ways to deal with it if unforeseen issues come up on some of these construction projects or it could be a few thousand dollars.

Chairwoman Kelly

Are you all set, Alderman Klee?

Alderman Klee

Yes I think I would just like to, unless someone has something different, I know that we shorted many of these projects but Marguerite's place it seems like is too dire a need that we need to do so I would like to take \$9,000.00 from the contingency plan and the remaining balance of \$7,000.00 right; between \$6,800 and \$7,500 and take those two funds and add them to Marguerite's Place. That would be my recommendation.

Chairwoman Kelly

So the motion would be to add \$16,000.00 to the Marguerite's Boiler, which would be bringing it up to \$46,000.00.

Alderman Klee

Yes, it is still short of the \$59,400.00 that they wanted but I think it at least helps get them closer to that goal. They did say that they needed all of them to be replaced. We discussed in the past that maybe we would try to get two or three or whatever it was. But the email that they did send us that this was dire need and they needed all of them to be replaced. Am I correct?

Chairwoman Kelly

That is what I remember but I know Ms. Schena talks to the applicants more frequently, so if she recalls or has anything to add to that?

Ms. Schena

So we did follow up afterwards and they said that really according to the contractors and professionals they had look at these boilers that it is difficult, they really are all on their last leg. So it is hard to say which of the ones that are there are going to be the first to go but really it is their opinion that they all need to be replaced.

Alderman Klee

And then before my motion goes forward I just have one more question. Opportunity Network, their plumbing and water heater we did significantly lower them from the \$45,000.00 but they do have other funding sources; I do see the Opportunity Network is here. So may I ask that question to them, Madam Chair?

Chairwoman Kelly

Yeah. Your name for the record.

Stephanie Ouellette I am Stephanie Ouellette from Opportunity Networks. We are fund raising to help make up some differences and we are talking to funders to do that. We are trying to talk to our landlord about kicking in some money and helping us with that, because we do understand that there are other programs that have just as great needs as us. And every year, you get a little bit less funding. So we are working to fill the gap so yes we are good with our funding. So we will make it work.

Alderman Klee

Madam Chair, my motion stands as the \$9,800 and the \$7,000.00 which would be \$16,800? No, sorry, \$16,000.00 – the \$9,000.00 from contingency and the \$7,000.00 from the difference between the Nashua Soup Kitchen and the Rail Trail, so \$17,000.00 be allocated to Margarite's Place in addition to the \$30,000.00 we originally suggested.

Chairwoman Kelly

\$16,000.00.

Alderman Klee

\$16,000.00 my goodness.

MOTION TO FURTHER AMEND R-21-126 BY ALDERMAN KLEE TO TAKE \$9,000.00 FROM CONTINGENCY FUND AND \$7,000.00 FROM THE DIFFERENCE OF THE RAIL TRAIL MONEY FROM THE ZEROING OUT OF THE SOUP KITCHEN AND ALLOCATE THAT \$16,000.00 UPDATING LINE ITEM 8 TO \$46,000.00 BY ROLL CALL

ON THE QUESTION

Chairwoman Kelly

So the motion is to update line item 8 to \$46,000.00 that would be Marguerite's Place coming up and then changing contingency down by \$9,000.00 and for some reason my packet went away.

Alderman Klee

Yes that would bring contingency to \$132.88.

Chairwoman Kelly

OK everyone has heard the motion, are we clear, do we have questions? Anybody? Alderman Wilshire?

Alderman Wilshire

I'm good.

Chairwoman Kelly

OK. Do you want to call the roll on the amendment?

Alderman Wilshire

Yes, I will.

Chairwoman Kelly

Please and thank you.

A viva voce roll call was taken which resulted as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Yea: Alderman Harriott-Gathright, Alderman Klee, Alderman Caron, Alderman Wilshire | 4 |
| Abstain: Alderwoman Kelly | 1 |
| Nay: | 0 |

MOTION CARRIED AS AMENDED

Chairwoman Kelly

OK with that we are at exactly level funded for the year. If there are any additional thoughts from the Committee? We need to now have a motion for final passage as amended correct?

Alderman Wilshire

Yes. I will make that motion.

MOTION BY ALDERMAN WILSHIRE TO AMEND R-21-126 BY REDUCING LINE 10 BY \$75,000.00; ADDING THE RAIL TRAIL FOR \$68,000.00; AND FUNDING MARGUERITE'S PLACE AT \$46,000.00 LEAVING A TOTAL IN CONTINGENCY OF \$132.88 FOR FINAL PASSAGE BY ROLL CALL

A viva voce roll call was taken which resulted as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Yea: Alderman Harriott-Gathright, Alderman Klee, Alderman Caron, Alderman Wilshire | 4 |
| Abstain: Alderwoman Kelly | 1 |
| Nay: | 0 |

MOTION CARRIED AS AMENDED

Alderman Wilshire

I will make a motion for final passage – well that was as amended. I thought we were just voting on the amendment were we?

Alderman Harriott-Gathright

We should have voted on the amendment.

Chairwoman Kelly

We did already vote on the amendment.

Alderman Wilshire

We're good.

Alderman Klee

I am not sure that we did Madam Chair. I think we voted on line item changes. Then we voted accidentally as amended but we should have voted on the amendment. No, Yes?

Alderman Wilshire

As amended.

Alderman Harriott-Gathright

As amended.

Alderman Klee

"As amended" is OK?

Chairwoman Kelly

I think we're good. OK. Great. Then we are moving into New Business Ordinances.

NEW BUSINESS – ORDINANCES - None

TABLED IN COMMITTEE - None

GENERAL DISCUSSION

Alderman Klee

Thank you so much for indulging me again. I think the changes that we made here are very important. I think we are keeping the Soup Kitchen in mind and I appreciated Stephanie from Opportunity Networks stating that they do have other funding sources and so on. The fact that we can fund the Rail Trail which again is important because of matching funds. I can never stress enough how important it is. I always look at it as if we turn them down then the next time the opportunity comes they'll say, well you didn't need it last time so why do it this time. And that we can help Marguerite's Place a little bit more. They do great work and I am so happy that we are able to do that. So I want to thank everybody for all the good work that they've done.

Chairwoman Kelly

Thank you Alderman Klee. Anyone else? (There was none.)

PUBLIC COMMENT - None

REMARKS BY THE ALDERMEN - None

POSSIBLE NON-PUBLIC SESSION – None

ADJOURNMENT

MOTION BY ALDERMAN WILSHIRE TO ADJOURN, BY ROLL CALL

A viva voce roll call was taken which resulted as follows:

Yea: Alderman Harriott-Gathright, Alderman Klee, Alderman Caron,
Alderman Wilshire, Alderwoman Kelly 5

Nay: 0

MOTION CARRIED

The meeting was declared adjourned at 7:43 p.m.

Alderman-at-Large Lori Wilshire
Committee Clerk