



City of Nashua
Conservation Commission
229 Main Street
Nashua NH 03061-2019

Planning & Zoning 589-3090
Fax 589-3119
Web www.nashuanh.gov

EXPANDED DRAFT MEETING SUMMARY

THIS IS NOT AN OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF TAPE RECORDED PROCEEDINGS

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN NOT BEEN REVIEWED OR APPROVED BY THE ZBA

NASHUA CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Tuesday, April 7, 2021

A. Call to order

A regular meeting of the Nashua Conservation Commission was called to order on Tuesday, April 7, 2021 at 7:00 PM, via Zoom virtual meeting.

B. Roll call

Members present:

- Sherry Dutzy, Chair
- Gene Porter, Vice Chair
- Richard Gillespie, Acting Clerk
- Brandon Pierotti, Treasurer
- Carol Sarno
- Josh Hauser, Alt.
- Gloria McCarthy, Alt.
- Jed Crook, Alt.

Also in Attendance:

- Matt Sullivan, Planning Manager
- Chris Webber, Department Coordinator
- Ald. Ernest Jette, Alderman Liaison
- Jason Puopelo, Parks & Recreation Dept.
- Lisa Fateaux, Division of Public Works
- Andrew Smeltz, NRPC

COVID-19 Address

Chairman Dutzy addressed the COVID-19 pandemic as follows: Due to the State of Emergency declared by Governor Sununu as a result of COVID-19 pandemic and in accordance with the Governor's Emergency Order #12, pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04, this public body is authorized to meet electronically until further notice.

Please note that there is no physical location to observe and listen contemporaneously to the meeting, which was authorized to meet electronically pursuant to the Governor's order. However,

in accordance with the Emergency Order, this is to confirm that we are:

1. Access

The Commission is video conferencing utilizing Zoom for this electronic meeting. All members of the Conservation Commission have the ability to communicate contemporaneously during this meeting through this platform. Public access to this meeting is also provided via Zoom. The link to this meeting is contained in the meeting agenda, available on the city website.

2. Public Notice

The Commission previously gave public notice to the meeting and the necessary information for accessing the meeting through public postings, and where applicable notice to abutters. Instructions have also been posted to the city website, and publicly noticed at City Hall.

3. Public Alert

The Commission is providing a mechanism for the public to alert the Commission during the meeting if there are problems with access. If anybody has a problem accessing the meeting via phone, please call (603)-589-3115, and they will help you connect.

4. Adjourning the Meeting

In the event that the public is unable to access the meeting via the methods above, the meeting will be adjourned and rescheduled.

5. Procedures

The Chair is in control of the meeting, and to the extent practicable and advisable the Commission will follow the procedures identified in the Bylaws. The applicant will present the applicant's case, followed by questions by the Commission. The Chair will then allow testimony from persons wishing to speak in favor, or with questions or opposition, before the Commission deliberates and determines an outcome.

Applicants and their representatives, and individuals required to appear before the Commission are appearing remotely, and are

not required to be physically present. These individuals may contact the Planning Department to arrange an alternative means of real time participation if they are unable to use Zoom. Documentary exhibits and/or visual presentations must be submitted in advance of the meeting so that they may be displayed for remote public access viewing.

Real-time public comment can be addressed to the Commission utilizing Zoom virtual meeting software for remote access. Real-time public comments via audio will be addressed at the conclusion of the public hearing. This application will allow users to view the meeting, and submit questions or comments to the Commission utilizing the Zoom software.

The public is also encouraged to submit their comments via email to the Department email at planningdepartment@nashuanh.gov or by mail. Please be sure to include your name, address, and comments. Letters should be addressed to Planning Department, P.O. Box 2019, Nashua, NH 03061.

Please note that all votes taken during this meeting will be done by roll call. Conservation Commission meetings will be held electronically until further notice, when it is deemed safe to conduct meetings at City Hall.

The Planning Department and Conservation Commission thank you for your understanding and patience during this difficult time.

C. Membership

Commissioner Sarno has moved from alternate to full member.

The Commission welcomed Jed Crook as an alternate member.

Commissioner Parker will be resigning from the Commission.

D. Approval of minutes

March 3, 2021

MOTION by Commissioner Gillespie to approve the minutes of March 3, 2021, as amended

SECONDED by Commissioner Porter

MOTION CARRIED 5-0

March 30, 2021 - 2 East Spit Brook Site Walk

MOTION by Commissioner Gillespie to approve the site walk minutes of March 30, 2021, as amended

SECONDED by Commissioner Sarno

MOTION CARRIED 5-0

E. New Business

- *The Landing at Nashua LLC c/o Dick Anagost (Owner) Requesting review of temporary and permanent impacts to "Other > 9,000-sqft" wetland buffer in order to redevelop site. Property is located at 2 East Spit Brook Road. Sheet A lots 218, 1019 & 1020. Zoned General Business/Mixed Use. Ward 7.*

Commissioner Porter asked what it would take to retain a greater volume of stormwater onsite for infiltration. Most of the riverfront projects he has been associated with try to do at least a five or ten year storm.

Matthew Bruton, Project Engineer, BL Companies

Mr. Bruton provided a detailed recap of the development and the proposed impacts to the two wetlands onsite. Historically all the water from Daniel Webster Hwy was dumped onto this site. He detailed their stormwater management systems. They are proposing to fully infiltrate a 2-year storm after consultation with the city and state. The goal is to clean this water and then get it into the river as soon as possible. Their recommendations have been to fully retain the 2-year and let everything else discharge into the river because of the restrictive nature of the river. The water will be clean, they are just not detaining peak flows for anything higher.

Commissioner Porter said the presentation was responsive to his concerns. The notion of trying to speed the water into the river is counterintuitive and not fully understandable, given that they could increase the size of the retention basins and treat more water beyond the 2-year minimum. This is a difficult site, but he still doesn't understand the argument that they are trying to prevent flooding. Why not let the basin fill a little higher and raise the outflow pipe so that it can handle a 4-year storm? It seems to be worth consideration.

Chairman Dutzy asked if the 2-year suggestion by the state was a minimum, and if there was anything to prevent retaining a 5-year storm besides the cost. Is there a scientific reason?

Mr. Bruton said most applications propose to mitigate peak flows for all events, and that's normally what they would do. Given the proximity to the river, this is a common engineering practice to let the water get to the river quicker and not pile up with other water flowing through the property. He clarified that the speed at which they are getting the water into the river is not at the expense of water quality. The water that is being discharged is clean to the state level. The scientific reason is the DOT is concerned about the capacity of the 42-inch pipe and contributing to flooding that already exists with no outlet. They are trying to fix an existing problem and provide development opportunity for this parcel which has been used as a stormwater basin for all other properties developed before stormwater regulations were as serious as they are today.

Mr. Bruton said the 2-year storm was suggested by the NHDOT because that is the storm which collects the pollutants. Anything above and you're not cleaning beyond the first flush. They are increasing recharge, but is not advantageous in terms of water quality.

Commissioner Sarno asked about invasive species onsite and ones that could be introduced during construction. She could not find it in their erosion control section, and she is very concerned about Japanese Knotweed.

****Break in the recording****

Chairman Dutzy said normally what they would do is once they have signed off on this, one of the Commissioners would take it on as a property manager. They would keep tabs to make sure the project is meeting all stipulations. She recommended Commissioner Sarno volunteer for this role.

Commissioner Porter asked about the 2-year criteria, and that the Dept. of Transportation (DOT) recommended it, not the Dept. of Environmental Services (DES). He agrees with the basic argument that the first flush of water has the most pollutants and they should retain that, but a 10-year storm would flush that all into the river. There's no way a

retention basin can capture only the front end of that flow.

Mr. Bruton clarified that the DES is the one who recommended the 2-year event, and goes into the DOT pipe.

Chairman Dutzy asked staff if Commissioner Porter has further concerns, could he write a letter to the Planning Board?

Mr. Sullivan said he is welcome to provided that they are not sent on behalf of the Conservation Commission. Stormwater management is not strictly the jurisdiction of the Commission, and they could not stipulate that.

Commissioner Gillespie said when they have a 10-year storm, they've already taken the first flush as well.

Mr. Bruton said the 2-year up to 100-year flows through the same system. Water will not leave at the 2-year event. Anything above that flows through the same system and then discharges to the river. They are still getting the cleansing of the water from the stormwater treatment infrastructure, but not retaining it.

Commissioner Gillespie asked if the cleaning effect is still there and has been done.

Mr. Bruton said yes. Even a 10-year and 50-year storm still goes through all of those devices, they are just not retaining it onsite. They are cleaning it and letting it go.

Chairman Dutzy asked about the management of invasives. They will not be introducing any new ones and will be removing any they find, but is there any plan to manage the invasives as part of their management plan? If you don't manage it, invasives will come back.

Mr. Bruton said they are providing a landscape plan, which would be on the owners and managers to maintain.

Chairman Dutzy asked if there is a plan on part of the owners to manage the invasive species.

Mr. Bruton said yes.

Commissioner Pierotti said during the site walk they talked about the pad at the southeast corner and the storage

facility. What is being proposed to the west of the storage facility uphill?

Amy Sanders, Project Engineer, Fuss & O'Neil

Ms. Sanders said they tried to create different possibilities for development. That small area in question is potentially proposed to have a small office building, 1,500-sqft with parking. It's not definite, but a potential development opportunity.

Mr. Sullivan said that proposed building is not part of the submitted site plan.

Ms. Sanders said correct.

Commissioner Pierotti asked if this goes through, what would happen with the land to the north and west of the potential train station?

[Unknown] said these are just conceptualls. There is nothing carved in stone, but these are pre-Covid plans. He doesn't think fitness and restaurant industries have healed enough for the plan. The office space was slated to be an office space for himself, but that was prior to his purchase of the TD Bank site on Main St. They drew it up only as a concept. The only concrete ones are self-storage and Costco.

Commissioner Pierotti asked where the snow stockpiles would be.

Mr. Bruton said the majority would be piled in undeveloped area of the property. As other areas get developed, they would look to use the interior landscaped areas. In bad storms, they would use parking spaces as necessary to keep snow storage onsite and treated.

Commissioner Pierotti asked if for any significant storms the contractor would ferry the snow outside of the curbed parking lot into the undeveloped spaces.

Mr. Bruton said that is a possibility. But there are a lot of landscaped island proposed, so they would look to utilize those to the best of their ability.

Commissioner Crook asked if they have a baseline of what they

want to restore the wetlands to.

Mr. Bruton showed a historical map of how the drainage area looked. The goal would be to expose the buried 24-inch pipe so it can freely flow and make it at least functional.

Commissioner Crook asked if functional means staying contained in the wetland area.

Mr. Bruton said correct. There is a significant flooding in this area that encroaches on Worthen industries, so there is no way for the water to build up, cross the tracks, and make it to the river. Exposing that pipe gives it a way to escape the area without causing property damage.

Commissioner Crook said there is quite a bit of vegetation that would have to be cleared. This poses the risk for a large amount of invasives to thrive there. Will they be overseeing this project as well?

Mr. Bruton said yes, and showed their four-step plan to reinstate the area. This restoration area would be of benefit to the wetland and surrounding businesses.

Commissioner Crook said it's a large property and has been vacant for a long time. Is there any ecological assessment as to what this will cause for the area?

Ms. Sanders said they hired a wetland biologist to review the site as part of their Alteration of Terrain permit. It also gets reviewed by Fish and Game.

Commissioner Gillespie asked if the parking lot lighting is downcast.

Mr. Bruton said yes. It is dark sky compliant.

The Commission held a brief discussion regarding stipulations.

MOTION by Chairman Dutzy to favorably recommend the proposal with the following stipulations:

1. Straw bales rather than hay bales shall be used for erosion control.
2. Invasive species shall not be introduced to the site and

all existing invasive species shall be removed by the owner/applicant. The owners/applicant shall manage the invasive species in accordance with the proposed plan for an ongoing period of five (5) years.

3. Wetland/wetland buffer signage shall be installed in all required locations in accordance with the City of Nashua Wetlands Ordinance and standard Planning Department practices.

SECONDED by Commissioner Sarno

MOTION CARRIED 4-1 (Porter opposed)

Commissioner Sarno volunteered to be the project manager for this development.

➤ ***Presentation on Proposed Disc Golf Course***

Lisa Fateaux, Public Works Director

Ms. Fateaux thanked the Commission for allowing them to present. She introduced Jason Puopelo, superintendent for Parks and Recreation, Avery Jenkins, world champion disc golfer and designer, Dickie Smart, founder of the Gate City Disc Gold Club, Alex & Nicole McClain, residents.

Ms. Fateaux said this was originally brought up by Mr. McClain, and his persistence made her look at lot harder into it. Mr. Smart presented a petition to the Mayor with 120 signatures asking for a disc golf course, which is over 200 signatures. This is a growing sport.

Ms. Fateaux explained the benefits of disc golf. It's a free sport, all residents can play regardless of socioeconomic status. It can be played individually or as a group. It's appealing to families of all ages and athletic ability. It brings people into the wilderness and allows them to enjoy the beauty of natural areas. Many residents don't have the opportunity of wooded spaces next to their homes. She said the Rotary West has generously agreed to sponsor the disc golf course.

Dickie Smart, President of Gate City Golf Club

Mr. Smart said disc golf is played in a similar way to golf.

It's a good passive game for parks, conservation areas, and other land that is otherwise not usable for recreational purposes.

Mr. Smart played a short video about disc golf.

Chairman Dutzy asked if the video showed information on landscaping and how the land would be used.

Mr. Smart said yes, he wanted to give them an introduction to the club. He described the history of Frisbees and disc golf. He told the Board a personal story about how disc golf has helped him, and his efforts to establish a club.

Alex LaPlante, Enthusiast

Mr. LaPlante said this sport has become a passion for his whole family. Having a course here would keep them in the city and bring other families in.

Mr. Smart said the sport is growing. It is better funded; they have a donor which would pick up the tab for the construction of the course. These courses are now being designed more professionally.

Mr. Smart described the current course at Greeley Park as a practice facility at best. It was completed in the 90s, and is in need of repair. It's great for youth players, but as of late is underutilized and floods heavily in the spring.

Mr. Smart said they reached out to the Mayor and made a presentation with the Division of Public Works. They presented the Mayor with 120 petition signatures, and as of this afternoon they are up to 247.

Avery Jenkins, Designer

Mr. Jenkins introduced himself as a disc golf world champion and course designer. He has designed 25 courses on a variety of public and private spaces. He said it's a stretch to call the training park in Greeley Park a disc course, especially when they are surrounded by good courses. Nashua is deserving of a professional course at a good property that will offer all the amenities of what they are looking for.

Mr. Jenkins said this would have a low environmental impact.

They are not going to clear huge swaths of land to create fairways like you would see in a golf course. They integrate with nature. They like wooded courses, and it's very prevalent in the northeast. He described the components of a disc golf course. Between the tee pad and the hole, everything is all natural.

Mr. Smart said the club would organize cleanups and landscaping, and work with the Commission to steward the land.

Mr. Smart provided a case study of a disc course established on conservation land in Haverhill MA. He described the construction of the courses. He gave an overview of the Terrell property history and conservation.

Mr. Smart invited the Commission to walk the Terrell Conservation area with the club, see the proposed course, and work with them to create this course. Nashua is the Gate City, and should be a beacon of what New Hampshire disc golf looks like.

Mr. Smart gave a detailed overview of what each hole on the proposed course would look like. They propose to use the Legendary Oak as a centerpiece for this course, and plan to represent it with pride. He thanked everyone involved.

Commissioner Sarno said it seems like a neat sport. She is concerned about what seems to be an excessive intrusion into the Terrell Homestead. It's one of the few areas in southwest Nashua where there is still a lot of wildlife, and these 20-ft wide trail corridors would have a negative impact on wildlife in that area. It looked like there is a part of Yudicky, the gravel pit area, which they aren't using. Have they considered using that area? Also, have they considered a nine hole course in Yudicky instead of 18?

Mr. Jenkins said they are trying to bring in something that would be noticed and recognized. An eighteen hole course is usable for tournaments and giving people the full experience. Yudicky Park had a lot of wetlands and hard transitional points. They checked out the gravel area, but it was hard to get back that far on the property and back to the parking lot in the limited amount of holes they had. When they did the surveys, they found it tough to get back there because of the lay of the land, the residential area, and wetlands without going west into the conservation area.

Commissioner Sarno said they might be referring to the gravel pit near Tanglewood.

Mr. Smart indicated the gravel area on the map. It's not accessible.

Commissioner Sarno said she would have to walk it with them. She is surprised that they are saying it's not accessible.

Mr. Jenkins indicated the wetland area nearby, and said it was difficult to get to one side and another and have enough holes to get to and from. And that was in the winter; it would be even harder in the spring. They checked every piece of the property to try to see if there was usable land for the course, and it was difficult to find anything that wouldn't encroach on wetlands.

Commissioner Sarno asked if they were using current paths or making new ones.

Mr. Jenkins said they are using a few of the existing ones. He indicated the trails they will be using, but they will be making other tracks or trails.

Commissioner Sarno said there are existing paths on both sides of the wetland.

Mr. Jenkins said it is very narrow and doesn't accommodate any of the holes.

Mr. Smart said they wanted to get up and use this area, but there is no way to turn around and come back the same way. In order to get out and around, they would have to encroach on this land.

Mr. Smart said the Haverhill Conservation Commission gave them the advice that their forest is actually much healthier now, and that walkers are more comfortable out there now that the disc golfers are using the course. The new disc golf course in Manchester helped bring back hawks to the area. He understands a lot about how this land is to be utilized, for conservation purposes. He also believes that conservation isn't preservation, and they want to use it to the best of its ability.

Chairman Dutzy said it looks like one of the trails goes past the vernal pool, a very sensitive wetland area. After hole 9, it goes directly through the field they have been maintaining for pollinators. To take a 20-ft swath of wildflowers out of there for a disc golf course is a non-starter. This was originally presented to the Commission as having to do a slight infringement into Terrell, and from what she can see half of the golf course is all in Terrell. They were expecting a little bit of infringement, not a plan for half of the golf course to be on conservation land.

Mr. Smart said the intent was to get them on the land and turned around as fast as possible. He is not as familiar with the natural features of this section. It's all about being able to access this side of the park.

Mr. Jenkins said they could go to the east of the vernal pool and avoid it entirely. Those fields are very enticing for a disc golf because it creates a balance between the woods and field shots.

Chairman Dutzy said that is the issue. This was presented to them as a great family activity, which it may be. But now they're talking about conservation land that's basically going to be devoted to a club. The club provides camaraderie for you and a great outdoor activity, but they already have a club that used this land prior to it being acquired by the city. They're having a difficult time to modify the trails being put in there now because they don't work for wildlife. She is willing to set up a walk, but she has already seen public land given over to clubs. It happened over at Greeley Park with the Horseshoe Club. It became their parcel of land, and the basically took over that part of the park. She would be very reluctant to do that again on public land, especially land they are working so hard to balance between wildlife and humans.

Chairman Dutzy said this is a shock. What she is hearing them say is that this isn't about developing a family activity that's free in Nashua, it's about developing a championship course that works for the disc golf course club, and that is exactly what they have over at Greeley Park with the Horseshoe Club. They started doing tournaments, they started having people with their RVs doing weekends in the park, and it became a big problem. She would be hesitant to spearhead something like that in Terrell. That being said, she would be

willing to do a site walk.

Ms. Fateaux said there is a misunderstanding. This disc golf course is not for the Gate City Golf Club. This would be a city park, that would be operated and managed by the Parks and Recreation Dept. This would be open to all Nashua residents, not run or restricted by the club. She doesn't think this property is being used or utilized by residents right now, and this would open it up to recreation. If there are sensitive areas, it could be mitigated. This is not the proposed design, it is simply for discussion purposes.

Commissioner Sarno said there are four miles of trails in Terrell, which are regularly used. There are barred owls there now, and she would hate to see them lose their home because of increased activity. She said the Legendary Oak is roughly 340 years old.

Mr. Smart said this is not a design, just a representation.

Chairman Dutzy said it's worth a site walk. It's hard for her to wrap her head around this. There is going to be some conflict between their goals and the Commission's goals, but they owe it to each other to take it to the next step.

Mr. Smart said golf courses are measured in yards, but this is measured in feet. This is a two mile path they laid out.

Commissioner Porter said the idea of Parks and Recreation managing this is interesting. From the video it looked like a fairly intensive management project to maintain, organize, and supervise the activities. How many additional people would they have to hire to operate this?

Ms. Fateaux said they wouldn't plan to hire any additional staff. They would coordinate with clubs and organizations. They would be the ones in charge of approving anything and maintaining the course.

Commissioner Crook said it seems interesting that the Terrell Conservation land has been chosen for this project, seeing that there are so many trails and uses in this land already. Had they considered any other land? This land runs through vernal pools and has endangered species. What other options are there?

Mr. Smart said Yudicky and Terrell offer a wide variety of natural features. Of all the parks they visited, it offered the best aesthetics. If you're going to build a top notch disc golf course, this is the complete package.

Chairman Dutzy asked if they looked at Camp Doucet.

Mr. Smart said they did not.

Chairman Dutzy said it is rented out to the Boy Scouts and Boys and Girls Club for events. It's twenty-five acres of wooded land. How many acres do they need for an 18 hole course?

Mr. Smart said about 40. It's an acre or two per hole depending on the spacing.

A brief discussion of pars ensued.

Commissioner Sarno said it would take probably easily two hours to walk, with bush whacking.

The Commission agreed to perform a site walk on April 25th, 8am-11am

F. Old Business

None

G. NCC Correspondence and Communications

None

H. Nonpublic Session per RSA 91-A: 3 II (d) concerning land (Roll call vote required).

Nonpublic session was not required.

I. Commissioners Discussion

➤ ***Update on NRPC Task Order #1 - Wetland Mapping***

Mr. Smeltz showed the Commission a pdf of the map. The issue is that the file is poster sized and 20Mb in size. Printed that isn't an issue, but it's more difficult online. Also, Spit Brook was missing from the dataset. He

added it in, but one of the things he discussed with Mr. Sullivan was scouring the dataset to make sure all of the water bodies are in there.

Chairman Dutzy said if there are some things in the ordinance that are not definitive, should they be looking at modifying the ordinance so that the map is accurate?

Mr. Smeltz said no. When they do a site project they perform a wetlands survey, and that's when they find wetlands. Some are very small, and he doesn't think using GIS will help them find and represent them all. A site level project has a zoomed in map scale, which helps identify those features. The question he had was "Pennichuck Brook and its wetlands", and what that means.

Mr. Sullivan said the ordinance language is quite clear for the majority of the water courses for the critical wetlands. The majority of them are not identified correctly on the current map. They are also working with Pam in GIS to identify Pennichuck Brook and accessory wetlands. What they are doing here is large scale data quality assurance control to make sure it is correct.

Mr. Smeltz said another thing is because this is Pam's dataset, they need to coordinate changes. He showed the Commission some of the focus areas included in the map.

Commissioner Gillespie asked what the source of the red lines is from.

Mr. Sullivan said when a plan comes in for a site plan or subdivision, Pam locates the surveyed wetland lines and inserts them into the GIS. It indicates a plan has been done of a wetland. It contrasts with the generalized wetlands, and shows areas of greater accuracy.

Mr. Smeltz said if they have a color logo, he would like to add that to the map.

➤ ***Water Supply Protection District Map***

Mr. Sullivan said this is an effort in progress. They have been reviewing the Water Supply Protection District, and identified some issues with the way the Conservation Zone is delineated on the map. They are working on providing the

data layer to the public, as well as the 150-ft zone. They have to do some more analysis to figure out what that data layer would look like. This will be another mapping product which will trigger additional regulatory implications through the Commission.

➤ ***Outstanding Projects List***

Postponed to the May 4th meeting

➤ ***Committee Reports***

- ***Easement Monitoring:*** The Commission has received their annual LCHIP monitoring, \$1,400.00 to be submitted to the Conservation fund.
 - ***Digital Marketing:*** Postponed
 - ***Land and Trail Management:***
 - ***Musket Trail Signage:*** Chairman Dutzy said she is working on this
 - ***Trail Stewards:*** None
- ***Joyce Wildlife:*** Chairman Dutzy said they need to schedule a cleanup date. Mr. Sullivan provided the Commission with an update on the SCA.
- ***Deerwood Drive:*** Commissioner Gillespie led a discussion on an upcoming Zoning Board variance for L Deerwood Dr. Chairman Dutzy said there is a lot of little plots of land they would want to approach the owners of for easements or purchase. She said no piece of property is safe in Nashua from development. Commissioner McCarthy led a brief discussion on "Tea lots" in Nashua.
- ***Shoreland Exemption Area:*** Mr. Sullivan provided an updated explanation on the Commission's jurisdiction within the exemption area. If the project does not require Planning Board review, it does not need a vote from the Commission. However, some projects still come to the Commission for informational purposes.
- ***Legendary Oak:*** Commissioner Sarno provided an update on their efforts to take responsibility of the tree what they should do to maintain the health of the tree.

- ***New Hampshire Invasives Academy:*** Commissioner Sarno shared what she learned from her education. She said she will start walking the trails and recording where invasives are. Once they know what they have, they can come up with a management plan.

****Audio cuts off****

J. Adjournment

MOTION to adjourn by [Unknown] at [Unknown] PM

SECONDED by [Unknown]

APPROVED:

Richard Gillespie, Clerk, Nashua Conservation Commission

DIGITAL RECORDING OF THIS MEETING IS AVAILABLE FOR LISTENING DURING REGULAR OFFICE HOURS OR CAN BE ACCESSED ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE. DIGITAL COPY OF AUDIO OF THE MEETING MAY BE MADE AVAILABLE UPON 48 HOURS ADVANCED NOTICE AND PAYMENT OF THE FEE.

Prepared by: Kate Poirier